#### Dear Students,

During a college reunion, I gave one booklet to each residence, hoping its ideas would grow in their conversations. Two years later, students petitioned for a referendum and the following year 62% voted for Ranked Choice Voting to elect the Student Senate. That may have been a coincidence, of course, but I think the booklets probably helped.

The online edition may help the co-ops, senate, SFC, faculty, trustees or town adopt more tools for Accurate Democracy. — R. Loring

# \$ \$ \$ Ranked Choice Voting Games



"This is *the* site for learning about democracy." — Zoe Weil, author of *Most Good, Least Harm*, president of the Institute for Humane Education.

 "...a huge contribution to the democracy cause."
 — John M. Richardson Jr., former Chairman of the National Endowment for Democracy.

"Congratulations on a brilliant piece of work." Robert Fuller, former President of Oberlin College, author of *Somebodies and Nobodies* and *All Rise*.

**Tools for democracy** impact our levels of liberty, social trust, health and happiness.

The best types of voting are fast, easy and fair. They help groups from classrooms to countries.

They raise the rewards for consensus builders. They reduce polarizing fear, anger and gridlock.

One easy tool compares the votes on all the **options for a policy**. Other tools give out **fair shares of seats or \$pending**.



Accurate Democracy is strongly centered yet inclusive and fair.

#### Accurate Democracy eBook

#### Contents



Parts A, B, and C reveal how tools steer power.

A. Voting Primer tells the stories of the four tools
Tragedies, Eras and Progress of Democracy4
1. <u>Ranked Choice Voting</u> elects a majority Leader 14
2. <u>Fair Representation</u> elects a balanced Council 16
3. <u>Fair Share Voting</u> sets optional <b>Budgets</b> <mark>New</mark> 22
4. <u>Condorcet Tally</u> enacts a balanced <b>Policy</b> "
Rigged votes, Gerrymanders and Gridlock 32
★ Social Effects of group decision tools
Complementing Consensus
How we can try a group-decision tool
B. Workshop Games let us be inside the four tallies
C. SimElection <sup>™</sup> Maps make tally patterns visible
References, Glossary and Index, About Us

**1. Ranked Choice Voting, RCV, elects leaders.** Many cities are adopting it from California to Minnesota and Maine, Utah to NYC; plus Duke, Harvard, Princeton, Rice, Stanford, Tufts, MIT, Cal Tech, Carlton, Clark, GW, Reed, Vassar, UCSC, and the Universities of Houston, Auburn, CA, IA, IL, MA, MN, NC, OK, TX, VA, WA and WY.

**2. Multi-winner RCV elects balanced councils** at Cambridge, Carnegie Mellon, Clark, CUNY, MIT, Oberlin, Oxford, UC Cal, UC Davis, UCLA, Vassar and more. Australian and Irish voters have used RCV for decades.

## **1. Ranked Choice Voting can elect a majority winner from a single election**

Voting is easy. **Rank your favorite** as first choice, **and backup choices**: second, third, etc. as you like. Your civic duty to vote is done.

Now your vote counts for your top-rank candidate. If no candidate gets a majority, the one with <u>fewest</u> <u>votes loses</u>. So we eliminate that one from the tally. Your vote stays with your favorite if she advances. If she has lost then your vote counts for your backup. This repeats until one candidate gets a **majority**.

#### Why Support Ranked Choice Voting, RCV

- Backups give you more power and freedom to express opinions with less risk of wasting a vote.
- No hurting your first choice by ranking a backup, that does not count unless your first choice has lost.
- No worry about vote splitting in a faction as votes for its loser(s) can count for each supporter's backup.
- A majority winner from one election, so no winner with a weak mandate and no costly runoff election.
- High voter turnout also creates a strong mandate.
   The turnout for an election runoff often goes down.<sup>2</sup>
- More civility and consensus<sup>3</sup> arise as candidates ask a rival's fans for their backup votes.<sup>4</sup>
- 14 <u>www.fairvote.org/get\_involved</u>

More pages in the free eBook.

#### Summary and Index of Benefits

#### Ranked Choice Voting has proven to Page\*

Even then, old decision tools push policy pendulums...4

 $\star$   $\star$  An RCV toolbox can do more  $\star$   $\star$ 

- 4. Elect a few central reps, the key votes pulling ...... 31 reps from many factions to moderate policies. ...8, 54

- 34 \*<u>AccurateDemocracy.com/AcDem.pdf</u>

### ★ ★ Social Effects ★ ★

#### **These Are Tools Between People**

A group's decision rules pull its **culture** toward fair shares *or* toward winner takes all. They spread power wide and balanced, *or* narrow and lopsided. Other relations among members may follow their models.

Fair rules make **cooperation** safer, faster and easier. This favors people and groups who tend to cooperate. It may lead others to cooperate more often.



Politics are more **principled** and peaceful when all the rules help us find fair shares and central majorities. This might reduce political fears within our community; which helps us to be more receptive, creative and free.

So better rules help us build better decisions, plus better **relationships**. Both can please most people. Fair rules won't please some who get money or selfesteem from war-like politics. But countries with fair rules tend to rank high in social trust and happiness.<sup>1</sup> Voting is an exemplary tool between people.