## Full-Choice Ballots

Only a small group can crowd around a tally board. Big groups use paper ballots, tallied by computer.

Old-fashioned ballots oversimplify most issues. They let you mark only one option "yes", leaving all others "no". This can create false dichotomies, limited choices that polarize voters and increase conflict.

Full-choice ballots reduce those negative effects. They let a voter rank his $1^{\text {st }}$ choice, $2^{\text {nd }}$ choice, $3^{\text {rd }}$ etc. Ranks often reveal the dichotomies, "us versus them" or left versus right, hide moderate points of view.


VOTE HERE


| Names |  | Ranks |  |  | Worst |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ |  | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ |  | $6^{\text {th }}$ |
| John McCain | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O |
| Barack Obama | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Hillary Clinton | 0 | 0 | O | $\bigcirc$ | O | O |
| John Anderson | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Ross Perot | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Ralph Nader | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | O | 0 |
| Michael Bloomberg | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Write In | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
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## and Set Budgets

Each funding level is like another project. It needs enough cards to fill it up.

The column for " $\$ 3 \mathrm{OJ}$ " starts at the bottom. Its finish line is at the tally board's $\$ 3$ level. The column for " $\$ 5$ OJ" is blocked off up to $\$ 3$. Its finish line is at $\$ 5$; so it needs only $\$ 2$ in cards. A supporter must put a card in the lower level first.

One at a time, the weak ones lose and the money moves - to help favorites still in the running.
5. Should we let a member fund private items?
6. Should people who pay more taxes or dues get more power to spend public money?
7. Should voters see grants by a rep? (or voter?)
8. Did your second choice hurt your first choice?
9. Who could use Fair-share Spending?

Each funding level of an agency is like a project. But an agency starts with about $80 \%$ of its old budget. So a voter cannot give it nothing and "take a free ride."

## Answers

IRV: True, True, True. CV: $3 / 4+3$ votes, True. Fair Share Budgets: no, no, yes (no), optional, many. Pairwise Policies: yes, mid, yes, no, balenced, not here. Get complete answers at accuratedemocracy.com

## Movable Votes

Get your hands on 4 great voting rules. See fair-share tallies organize voters. Vote fast on budgets, reps and projects.


## A tally board has

- A card for each voter,
- A column for each option,

A finish line for the favorites. $\longrightarrow$


## Instant Runoff Voting Elects 1 Winner

For a tabletop tally by Instant Runoff Voting（IRV）
－The finish line marks the height of half the cards +1 ． That is how many votes a candidate needs to win．
－Eliminate the weakest candidate if no one wins． Draw names from a hat to break ties．
－Move your card if your candidate loses． This is a＂movable vote．＂
－Repeat until one candidate reaches the finish line！

This chart shows four columns on a tally board． The rule eliminated Anna，so voter JJ moved his card． Then Bianca lost，so BB and GG moved their cards．


## Instant Runoff Voting cont．

By organizing voters，Instant Runoff Voting avoids： Spoiler candidates and the lesser－of－two－evils choice； Costly runoffs and winners－without－mandates．
IRV elects leaders in London，Sidney，San Francisco．． It elects students at Duke，Rice，Reed，MIT，UCLA．．．

1．How can your group use this voting rule？
2．A card that moves is no bigger than any other：T，F
3．Your $2^{\text {nd }}$ choice vote can＇t hurt your $1^{\text {st }}$ choice：$T, F$
4．Only one candidate can reach $50 \%+1$ vote：T，F

## Choice Voting Elects 3 Reps

For a 3－seat election by Choice Voting（CV）
F The finish line is set at $1 / 4$ of the cards＋one． Do not give a card to a candidate who has finished．
f Eliminate the weakest candidates one at a time．
I Move your cards until three candidates win！
CV is used in many Australian and Irish elections， at Princeton，Harvard，Berkeley，Oxford and Cambridge， in some unions and in the Church of England．

CV gives each group their fair share of council seats． It elects more women and political minority candidates． It increases choices for voters and turnout of voters． It increases the effective votes，those which elect reps．

5．What total percent must three CV reps win？
6．Only three candidates can win $25 \%$＋one vote：T，F Ask questions one thru three with each voting rule．

## Pairwise Tally Centers a Policy

类 Flag C stands at our center，by the median voter． Three flags surround C，about 5 ＇from it．
类 Pairwise asks：＂Are you closer to flag A than B？ If so，please raise 1 hand．＂Then A against C，etc． We put each total in the Pairwise table below．
浆 The winner must top every rival，one－against－one．

| against | A | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for A | - | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| for B | $\mathbf{5}$ | - | 2 | 3 |
| for C | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | - | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| for D | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | 3 | - |

粯 A pole stands at our center，by the median voters． It holds a short Red ribbon and a long Blue one．
浆 If the Red ribbon gets to you，the Red policy gets your vote with its narrow appeal．
爰 But if the Red cannot touch you，the wide appeal of the Blue policy gets your vote．Which one wins？
If the flags are places for a heater in an icy cold room：
10．Do we turn on its fan to spread the heat wide？
11．Put it at our middle or in the biggest group？
12．Voters on the fringes have some influence？
13．Did the middle voter enact any policy alone？
14．Did this favor a balanced or a one－sided policy？
15．Should a first－choice vote count more？

## Movable Money Votes Buy Public Goods

Fair－share Spending by Movable Money Votes（MMV）
Kix Let＇s say we each put in $\$ 1$ to buy some items． You get two $25 \notin$ voting cards and a $50 \notin$ card．

䋑 We say an item needs modest support from 8 of us to prove it is a public good worth public money． So the finish line marks the height of 8 cards．
Ki．You may put only one of your cards in a column． So you can＇t dump all your cards on a private item． Tip：Give your double card to your favorite． This way 4 eager voters can fund a low－cost item．

Ki．A costly item must fill several columns．A column here holds $\$ 2$ ，so a $\$ 4$ item must fill two columns．

Kix When an item wins，the banker hides its cards．We drop any item that costs more than all the cards left． Then one at a time，we drop the least popular item， with the lowest level of cards in its columns．

礏 Move your card from a loser to your next choice． Tip：You may save a threatened favorite by briefly withholding your cards from lower－choice items．

We stop when all items still on the table are paid up． Only a few items can win，but all voters can win！
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