## Movable Votes

Get your hands on 4 great voting rules. See fair-share tallies organize voters. Vote fast on budgets, policies and projects.


A Tally Board has:

- A card for each voter,
- A column for each option,
(1) A finish line for the favorites.


## Instant Runoff Voting Elects 1 Winner

- The finish line marks the height of half the cards +1 . That is how many votes a candidate needs to win.
- Eliminate the weakest candidate if no one wins. Draw names from a hat to break ties.
- Move your card if your candidate loses. This is your "movable vote".
- Repeat until one candidate reaches the finish line!

By organizing voters, Instant Runoffs avoid: Spoiler candidates and the lesser-of-two-evils choice; Costly runoffs and winners-without-mandates.

This chart shows four columns on a tally board. The rule eliminated Anna so voter JJ moved his card. Then Bianca lost so BB and GG moved their cards.

IRV elects leaders in London, Sidney, San Francisco... It elects students at Duke, Rice, Reed, MIT, UCLA...

1. How can your group use this voting rule?
2. A card that moves is no bigger than any other: T, F
3. Your $2^{\text {nd }}$ choice vote can't hurt your $1^{\text {st }}$ choice: $T, F$
4. Only one candidate can reach $50 \%$ + one vote: T, F

## Single Transferable Vote Elects 3 Reps

* The finish line marks the height of $1 / 4$ of the cards +1 .
\& Do not give a card to a candidate who has finished.
Eliminate the weakest candidates one at a time.
Hove your cards until three candidates win!
STV is used in many Australian and Irish elections, at Princeton, Harvard, Berkeley, Oxford and Cambridge, in some labor unions and in the Church of England.

It increases choices for voters and turnout of voters. It elects more women and political-minority candidates. It gives each group their fair share of council seats.
It increases the effective votes, those which elect reps.
5. What total percent must three STV reps win?
6. Only three candidates can win $25 \%$ + one vote: T, F Ask questions one thru three with each voting rule.


## Movable Money Vote Buy Public Goods

kiy Let＇s say we each put in $\$ 1$ to buy some items． You get two $25 \notin$ voting cards and a $50 \notin$ card．

K．We say an item needs modest support from 8 of us to prove it is a public good worth public money． So the finish line marks the height of 8 cards．
㖡 You may put only one of your cards in a column． So you can＇t dump all your cards on a private item． Tip：Give your double card to your favorite treat． This way 4 eager voters can fund a low－cost treat．

Kix A costly item must fill several columns．A column here holds $\$ 2$ ，so a $\$ 4$ item must fill 2 columns．

感 When an item wins，the banker hides its cards．We drop any item that costs more than all the cards left． Then one at a time，we drop the least popular item， with the lowest level of cards in its columns．

Kax Move your card from a loser to your next choice． You may try to save a threatened favorite by briefly withholding your cards from lower－choice items．

K．We stop when all remaining items are paid up． Only a few items can win，but all voters win treats！

## Pairwise Centers a Policy

娄 Flag C stands at our center，by the median voter． Three flags surround C，about 5 ＇from it．

类 Pairwise asks：＂Are you closer to flag A than B？ If so，please raise your hand．＂Then A against C，etc． We put each total in the Pairwise table below．
类 The winner must top every rival，one－against－one．

| against | A | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | D |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| for A | - | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| for B | 5 | - | 2 | 3 |
| for C | 5 | 5 | - | 4 |
| for D | 4 | 4 | 3 | - |

类 A pole stands at our center，by the median voter． It holds a short Red ribbon and a long Blue one．
爰 If the Red ribbon gets to you，the Red policy gets your vote with its narrow appeal．

装 But if the Red cannot touch you，the wide appeal of the Blue policy gets your vote．Which one wins？
If the flags are places for a heater in an icy cold room：
12．Do we put it at our middle or in the biggest group？
13．Do we turn on its fan to spread the heat wide？

## and Set Budgets

Each funding level is like another project． It needs enough cards to fill it up．
The column for＂$\$ 30 \mathrm{OJ}$＂starts at the bottom． Its finish line is at the tally board＇s $\$ 3$ level． The column for＂$\$ 5 \mathrm{OJ}$＂is blocked off up to $\$ 3$ ． Its finish line is at $\$ 5$ ；so it needs only $\$ 2$ in cards． A supporter must put a card in the lower level first．
One at a time，the weak ones lose and the money moves－to help favorites still in the running．

7．Should we let a member fund private items？
8．Should people who pay more taxes or dues get more power to spend public money？
9．Should a member＇s votes be visible to others？
10．Did your second choice hurt your first choice？
11．Who could use Fair－share Spending？
Each funding level of an agency is like a project． But an agency starts with［80］\％of its recent budgets． So a voter cannot give it nothing and＂take a free ride．＂

## Answers

IRV：True，True，True．CV： $3 / 4+3$ votes，True． Fair Share Budgets：no，no，yes，optional，many．

Pairwise Policies：mid，yes．

## Full－Choice Ballots

Only a small group can crowd around a tally board． Big groups use paper ballots，often tallied by computer．

Old－fashioned ballots oversimplify most issues． They let you mark only one option＂yes＂，leaving all others＂no＂．This creates false dichotomies，limited choices that polarize voters and increase conflict．

Full－choice ballots reduce those negative results． They let you rank a $1^{\text {st }}$ choice， $2^{\text {nd }}$ choice， $3^{\text {rd }}$ etc． Ranks reveal the labels，＂us versus them＂or left versus right，hide moderate points of view．

These rules strengthen votes and thus mandates． They organize voters and lift the number supporting
粒 a Chairperson from a plurality to a majority，
＊a Council from a plurality to over three quarters，
Kix a Budget from a few power blocs to all members
类 a Policy from a one－sided to an over－all majority．

## Learn more at AccurateDemocracy．com．

Then build support in your school，club or town with
FairVote，The Center for Voting and Democracy．
© 2008，Robert Loring，votingsite＠aol．com

